

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/04/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/048,233	01/28/2002	Toshiki Koshimae	Q67191	4362	
7590 12/04/2003			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Sughrue Mion Zinn Macpeak & Seas			NGUYEN,	NGUYEN, DUNG T	
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Washington, DC 20037-3202			2828		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. •		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/048,233	KOSHIMAE ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Dung (Michael) T Nguyen	2828	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this comm or Reply	unication appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address	
THE - External control	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU ensions of time may be available under the provision or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this coe e period for reply specified above is less than thirty or period for reply is specified above, the maximum ure to reply within the set or extended period for re	ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rej mmunication.  (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABA s after the mailing date of this communication, even if tin	oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)	
	Responsive to communication(s) f	iled on		
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition	n for allowance except for formal matte ctice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	rs, prosecution as to the merits is	
Disposit	ion of Claims		,	
6)⊠	4a) Of the above claim(s) is. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.	are withdrawn from consideration.	Paulop	
8)	Claim(s) are subject to resti	riction and/or election requirement.	PAUL IP SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	
8)□ Applicat	Claim(s) are subject to resti		PAUL IP	
8) <b>□ Applicat</b> 9)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrict from Papers  The specification is objected to by the specification is objected to be specification.	he Examiner.	PAUL IP SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800	
8) <b>□ Applicat</b> 9)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrict from Papers  The specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/ar	he Examiner. e: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by	PAUL IP SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800  the Examiner.	
8) <b>□ Applicat</b> 9)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrict ion Papers  The specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection is the context of the context in	he Examiner. e: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by ection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance	PAUL IP SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800  y the Examiner. e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
8)□ <b>Applicat</b> i 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrict ion Papers The specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/ar Applicant may not request that any objected to any objected to be the drawing sheet(s) including sheet(s) including sheet(s).	he Examiner. e: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by	PAUL IP SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800  y the Examiner. e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restrict ion Papers The specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/ar Applicant may not request that any objected to any objected to be the drawing sheet(s) including sheet(s) including sheet(s).	he Examiner. e: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by ection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance the correction is required if the drawing(s)	PAUL IP SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800  y the Examiner. e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
8)	ion Papers  The specification is objected to by the specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/ar Applicant may not request that any objected supplication is objected ander 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priorit 2 Certified copies of the priorit 3 Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation of the attached detailed Office activation as specific reference was included a specific reference was included a specific reference was included the complex of the translation of the foreign landschowledgment is made of a claim acknowledgment is made	he Examiner. e: a) accepted or b) objected to by ection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyancing the correction is required if the drawing(s to by the Examiner. Note the attached on for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	PAUL IP SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800  y the Examiner. e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Office Action or form PTO-152.  119(a)-(d) or (f).  Dilication No eceived in this National Stage eceived. 119(e) (to a provisional application) ion or in an Application Data Sheet.  In received. 120 and/or 121 since a specific	
8)	ion Papers  The specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/ar Applicant may not request that any objected ander 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  Acknowledgment is made of a claim and the copies of the priorit copies of the priorit copies of the certified copies of the attached detailed Office activation as pecific reference was included to the first selection.	he Examiner. e: a) accepted or b) objected to by ection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyancing the correction is required if the drawing(s) to by the Examiner. Note the attached of the foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §  y documents have been received. Y documents have been received in Apple of the priority documents have been received in Apple of the priority documents have been reformal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). on for a list of the certified copies not refor domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § ed in the first sentence of the specification of th	PAUL IP SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800  y the Examiner. e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Office Action or form PTO-152.  119(a)-(d) or (f).  Dilication No eceived in this National Stage eceived. 119(e) (to a provisional application) ion or in an Application Data Sheet.  In received. 120 and/or 121 since a specific	

~~ . . .

- -

\_

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Drawings**

Figures 8-12 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: the voltage measurement means should be changed to unit. Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted prior art in this instant application in view of Bylsma et al. (US6255707).

Application/Control Number: 10/048,233

Art Unit: 2828

With respect to claims 1 and 5-6, the prior art shows in Fig 11 a solid state laser diode comprising a laser diode 1, a constant current source 10 for supplying a constant current to the diode 1, and a detection unit 18. The prior art does not disclose a voltage measurement unit. Bylsma teach a voltage measurement unit 110 in Fig.5. For the benefit of measuring the voltage of the laser diode, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the prior art a voltage measurement unit as taught by Bylsma.

With respect to claims 2-4, the prior art shows in Fig.8 and 11 a plurality of laser diodes 1 connected in series for exciting a laser medium 2, a constant current source 10, and a detection unit 18. The prior art does not disclose a voltage measurement unit. Bylsma teach a voltage measurement unit 110 in Fig.5. For the benefit of measuring the voltage of the laser diodes connected in series, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the prior art a voltage measurement unit as taught by Bylsma.

#### **Communication Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung (Michael) T Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-7159. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 17:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Ip can be reached on (703) 308-3098. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/048,233

Art Unit: 2828

Page 4

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-3329.

Nguyen (Michael) Dung

PAUL IP

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800